

The Research Exemption in the United States*

John Raubitschek

Patent Counsel

U.S. Department of Commerce

CSIC/OECD/OEPM Conference

Research Use of Patented Inventions

Madrid, Spain

May 18 -19, 2006

*Please note that these slides were not formally presented at the conference, but the substance of some of them was included the remarks made by the author at the closing roundtable.

Research Exemption

- A party w/o license from patent owner may experiment with patented technology w/o being liable for infringement damages or subject to an injunction
- “Promoting the Progress of . . . the Useful Arts” – US Constitution (Art 1, sec 8, cl 8)
- Similar to “fair use” under US copyright law, as codified in 17 USC 107 (1976). See leg. history of FDA exemption in 35 USC 271(e)(1)

History of the Research Exemption

- No statute, only case law – common law exemption
- Justice Story in *Whittemore v. Cutter* (1813) – only philosophical inquiry permitted – research?
- Highest patent court (CAFC) has narrowly interpreted exemption in 4 cases
- *Roche v Bolar* (1984) – bio-equivalency testing not exempt; overruled by 35 USC 271(e)(1)
- *Embrex v. Service Engineering* (2000)– designing around actionable; Rader concurring opinion - experimental defense is “de minimis” use and would not apply if any commercial value

History (cont'd)

- Duke University v. Madey (2002) – no exemption for Duke using its professor's patented laser gun
- Merck v. Integra (2005) – SCt reversed and found safe harbor covers pre-clinical testing of drugs under 35 USC 271(e)(1); Newman CAFC dissent – “research on vs. research with” test

Statutory Proposals

- PVPA of 1970 has general research exemption – 7 USC 2544
- HR 4970 (1988) had criteria for exemption; passed the House only
- Section 402 of HR 5598 (1990) to exclude use solely for research unless primary purpose of invention is research; did not pass
- AIPLA 2004 proposal - OK if to determine the validity and scope of the patent and understand features of the patent
- Universities have no formal position on exemption; many license their research tools
- Art 30 of TRIPS – countries may provide limited exception to exclusivity if does not “unreasonably prejudice” patent owners
- No current legislative proposal although several patent reform bills